BEFORE THE CHIEF OF THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Administrative Allegation of Violation Against: Case No. L20250825-02 Vincent P. Ricevuto Real Estate Appraiser License No. 001925 Respondent. **DECISION AND ORDER** The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Chief of the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers, as its Decision in this matter. This Decision shall become effective on September 10, 2025. It is so ORDERED September 3, 2025. Angela Jemmott Bureau Chief Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers

1 Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers 3075 Prospect Park Drive, Ste 190 2 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670 3 Telephone: (916) 610-9893 Facsimile: (916) 464-1030 4 BEFORE THE 5 CHIEF OF THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 In the Matter of the Administrative Allegation of Case No. L20250825-02 Violation Against: 8 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND Vincent P. Ricevuto 9 DISCIPLINARY ORDER Real Estate Appraiser License No. 001925 10 Respondent. 11 12 13 In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with the public interest and mission of the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers ("Bureau" or "BREA"), the parties 14 hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order ("Stipulated 15 Settlement") which will be submitted to the Chief of the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers 16 ("Bureau Chief" or "Chief of BREA") for approval and adoption as the final disposition of this 17 proceeding: 18 19 **PARTIES** 1. Tinna Morlatt, acting on behalf of the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers 20 ("Complainant"), brings this action solely in her official capacity as Deputy Bureau Chief of 21 Enforcement for Complainant. 22 2. Vincent P. Ricevuto ("Respondent") has chosen not to exercise his right to be 23 24 represented by counsel. 3. On or about June 17, 1992, Respondent was issued Real Estate Appraiser License 25 number 001925. Respondent's License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the 26 attached administrative allegation of violation and, unless renewed, will expire on 27 August 4, 2026. 28

JURISDICTION

- 4. The Bureau initiated an investigation resulting in administrative allegations of violation.
- 5. When deemed by the Bureau Chief to be in the public interest, the Bureau Chief has the authority under Business and Professions Code section 11315.5 to enter into a settlement related to administrative allegations of violation of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and Certification Law or regulations promulgated pursuant thereto, upon any terms and conditions as the Bureau Chief deems appropriate.
- 6. The administrative allegation of violation against Respondent is attached as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 7. Respondent has carefully read and understands the charges and allegations in the administrative allegations of violation. Respondent has also carefully read and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement.
- 8. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the administrative allegation of violation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 9. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

10. Respondent admits the truth of each and every administrative allegation of violation attached as Exhibit "A", and agrees that cause exists for discipline against his Real Estate Appraiser License.

28 \\\

11. Respondent agrees that his Real Estate Appraiser License is subject to discipline, and he agrees to be bound by the Bureau Chief's imposition of discipline as set forth in the following Disciplinary Order.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This Stipulated Settlement shall be subject to approval by the Bureau Chief. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and Complainant's staff may communicate directly with the Bureau Chief regarding this Stipulated Settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing this Stipulated Settlement, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind this Stipulated Settlement prior to the time the Bureau Chief considers and acts upon it. If the Bureau Chief fails to adopt this Stipulated Settlement, the Stipulated Settlement shall be of no force or effect, and, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Bureau Chief shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format ("PDF") or facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement, including PDF or facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals. Additionally, this Agreement may be executed by counterparts and by facsimile or email transmission of said counterparts, and each counterpart, whether transmitted by facsimile or otherwise, shall have the same force and effect as an original, and shall constitute an effective, binding agreement on the part of the undersigned.
- 14. This Stipulated Settlement is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Bureau Chief may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent's Real Estate Appraiser License No. 001925 is revoked. However, the revocation of Respondent's License shall be stayed, and Respondent's License shall be publicly reproved. Respondent's License shall be monitored on probation for two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision and Order on the terms and conditions described below. If Respondent fails to comply with the terms and conditions of probation, as set forth below, the Bureau may revoke Respondent's License.

- 1. Payment of Fine. Respondent shall pay a fine in the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00). Respondent has the option of making:
 - (1) the entire payment within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Decision and Order; or
 - (2) four (4) payments of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500), with the first payment due thirty (30) days after the effective date of the Decision and Order and the remaining payments due every three (3) months thereafter.

Payment shall be made to the Real Estate Appraisers Regulation Fund, c/o Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers, 3075 Prospect Park Drive, Suite 190, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670, by check or money order and shall indicate on its face the notation: "BREA Case No. L20250825-02." Respondent shall also submit a copy of the invoice with payment, which will be provided by BREA. If full payment is not received by BREA by the date due as noted in the invoice, a ten (10) percent late penalty shall be added to the unpaid balance and interest will accrue on the unpaid balance at the pooled money investment rate in effect at that time, until the full amount is paid. Additionally, if payment is not received by BREA within 30 days of its due date, the Bureau Chief may order the full amount of the fine to be immediately due and payable pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 11316(b)(3). Respondent shall not be eligible to renew

 his license until such time as full payment of the outstanding costs have been made. Failure to timely reimburse the fine shall constitute a violation of the probationary order.

2. Appraisal Log/Work Assignments. Commencing on the effective date of the Decision and Order and continuing for the entire probationary period, Respondent shall maintain a log of all appraisal and appraisal reviews Respondent performs on an Enforcement Log of Appraisal Assignments form REA 4020 ("log") provided by BREA. Respondent shall submit a complete and accurate log listing all appraisal and appraisal reviews completed each six (6) months. If zero (0) to five (5) appraisal or appraisal reviews are completed, the log must still be completed indicating the work completed or indicating no work was completed. Each six (6) month log shall be submitted to BREA within thirty (30) days following the end of each six (6) month period to Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers, 3075 Prospect Park Drive, Suite 190, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670. BREA may request a copy of any appraisal or appraisal review listed on any log and Respondent must provide the appraisal or appraisal review within the timeframe provided in BREA's request.

Failure to complete a minimum of six (6) appraisals or appraisal reviews of California real property every six (6) months shall result in an extension of Respondent's probation for an additional six (6) months.

- 3. Monitoring. Respondent shall be subject to, and shall permit and cooperate with, monitoring and investigation of Respondent's professional practice for the entire duration of Respondent's probation. Such monitoring and investigation shall be conducted by representatives of BREA.
- 4. Monitoring Costs. Respondent shall pay Four Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$450.00) every six (6) months for the entire probationary period to compensate BREA for monitoring costs. This includes periods when zero (0) to five (5) appraisal or appraisal reviews are completed and probation is extended. Failure to pay monitoring costs shall be a violation of probation.
- 5. Obey All Laws. Respondent shall comply with all federal, state and local laws, the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and Certification Law and regulations promulgated thereto, and all other laws and regulations pertaining to appraisal management companies. Additionally, if

1

9

6

14

12

17 18

19

21

20

2223

24

25

26

2728

Respondent is subject to criminal court orders, Respondent shall comply with all criminal court orders, including probation.

- 6. Comply with Probation. Respondent shall fully comply with the terms and conditions of the probation imposed by the Chief of BREA and shall cooperate fully with representatives of BREA in its monitoring and investigation of Respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of probation.
- 7. Violation of Probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Chief of BREA, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or a petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Chief shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 8. Ethics, Competency, and Negligence Course. Respondent shall take a BREA approved minimum 4-hour education course on Ethics, Competency, and Negligence within six (6) months of the effective date the Decision and Order. Respondent must submit proof of successful completion of the course within six (6) months following the effective date of the Decision and Order to the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers, 3075 Prospect Park Drive, Suite 190, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670. Respondent understands that it is his responsibility to ensure that the course meets all the requirements listed above and to make all necessary and preparatory arrangements to take the course. Educational courses imposed as a term or condition of probation by the Chief of BREA may not be credited toward Respondent's continuing education requirements required for renewal of Respondent's real estate appraiser license. Failure to comply with the education requirements as contained in this Disciplinary Order shall result in an automatic suspension of Respondent's real estate appraiser license. To reinstate Respondent's license if it is automatically suspended for failure to comply with the education requirement, Respondent must provide BREA with the following: (i) satisfactory verification of the completion of the education course or courses imposed; (ii) completion and filing of a reinstatement application; and (iii) payment of all applicable fees, fines, or penalties.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Respondent shall take a BREA approved minimum 4-hour education course on Ethics, Competency, and Negligence within six (6) months of the effective date the Decision and Order. Respondent must submit proof of successful completion of the course within six (6) months following the effective date of the Decision and Order to the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers, 3075 Prospect Park Drive, Suite 190, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670. Respondent understands that it is his responsibility to ensure that the course meets all the requirements listed above and to make all necessary and preparatory arrangements to take the course. Educational courses imposed as a term or condition of probation by the Chief of BREA may not be credited toward Respondent's continuing education requirements required for renewal of Respondent's real estate appraiser license. Failure to comply with the education requirements as contained in this Disciplinary Order shall result in an automatic suspension of Respondent's real estate appraiser

	<u> </u>		
1	license. To reinstate Respondent's license if it is automatically suspended for failure to comply		
2	with the education requirement, Respondent must provide BREA with the following: (i)		
3	satisfactory verification of the completion of the education course or courses imposed; (ii)		
4	completion and filing of a reinstatement application; and (iii) payment of all applicable fees,		
5	fines, or penalties.		
6			
7	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>		
8	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement. I understand the stipulation and the		
9	effect it will have on my License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement voluntarily, knowingly,		
10	and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Bureau Chief.		
11			
12	DATED: Vincent P. Ricevuto		
13	Respondent		
14			
15			
16	ENDORSEMENT		
17	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement is hereby respectfully submitted for the Bureau Chief's		
18 19	consideration.		
20			
21			
22	DATED: Tinna Morlatt		
23	Deputy Bureau Chief of Enforcement		
24	Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	o o		

same one consense and intermediate and bostone from the same of same and sections with the content of the conte
satisfactory verification of the completion of the education course or courses imposed; (ii)
completion and filing of a reinstatement application; and (iii) payment of all applicable fees,
fines, or penalties.
ACCEPTANCE
I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement. I understand the stipulation and the
effect it will have on my License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement voluntarily, knowingly,
and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Bureau Chief.
DATED: 8 27 2025
Vincent P. Ricevuto Respondent
<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
The foregoing Stipulated Settlement is hereby respectfully submitted for the Bureau Chief's
consideration.
DATED: August 28, 2025
Tinna Morlatt
Deputy Bureau Chief of Enforcement Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers
8
STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (BREA CASE NO. L20250825-02)

werdwellich andere betreißer Amerikanische Bereich de Carin merieur ausse ein Amerikanterst. (Pl

		Exhibit A			
1		Administrative Allegation of Violation - Case No. L20250825-02			
2	COUNT OF				
3	COUNT OF	<u>ve</u>			
5	On or about January 24, 2024, Respondent completed an appraisal of the property located at 2712 Oak Rd, Unit 50, Walnut Creek, CA 94597. The report contained certain errors and omissions in violation of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), listed as follows				
6	,	Respondent failed to adequately identify and report the effective date of appraisal			
7	_ a)	when he did not accurately report the date of inspection, which was also the effective date of appraisal (S.R. 1-2(d) and S.R. 2-2(a)(vii));			
8	b)	Respondent failed to adequately identify and report the subject property's			
9		characteristics when he did not accurately report its floor location and reported its			
10		number of levels inconsistently. Additionally, Respondent did not accurately identify and report the subject property's specific zoning classification and zoning			
11		description (S.R. 1-2(e)(i) and S.R. 2-2(a)(iv));			
12	c)	Respondent failed to develop and report a credible Sales Comparison Approach			
13		when he did not accurately report Comparable Sale Two's sale price. Additionally, Respondent did not adequately analyze comparable sales data to support the			
14		individual adjustments he applied to the comparable sales. Respondent also did not			
15		summarize any appraisal methods and techniques he employed, and analysis or reasoning that supported his opinions and conclusions, when deriving his opined adjustment amounts (S.R. 1-4(a), S.R. 2-2(a)(x)(1), and S.R. 2-2(a)(x)(5));			
16	1.				
17 18	d)	Respondent failed to adequately reconcile the applicability and relevance of the approaches to value when he did not summarize the reason he excluded the Cost Approach in the appraisal report (S.R. 1-6(b) and S.R. 2-2(a)(x)(2));			
19	e)	Respondent failed to adequately prepare a workfile when his workfile did not			
1	6)	contain true copies of all written reports he transmitted to his client. Additionally,			
20		Respondent failed to prepare a workfile that included data, information, and documentation necessary to support the specific zoning classification and zoning			
21		description he reported, or his opinions and conclusions when deriving his opined			
22		adjustment amounts (Record Keeping Rule);			
23	f)	Based on findings a through e above, Respondent failed to correctly employ recognized methodology and techniques to produce a credible appraisal			
24		(S.R. 1-1(a));			
25	g)	Based on findings a through e above, Respondent failed to not commit a			
26	<i>"</i>	substantial error of omission or commission that significantly affects an appraisal			
27	111	(S.R. 1-1(b));			
28					

	H				
1 2	h)	Based on findings a through e above, Respondent failed to clearly and accurately set forth the appraisal in a manner that was not misleading (S.R. 2-1(a));			
3	i)	Based on findings a through e above, Respondent failed to produce an appraisal			
4		report that contained sufficient information to enable the intended user(s) of the appraisal to understand the report properly (S.R. 2-1(b)); and			
5	j)	Based on the findings above, Respondent failed to perform competently when			
6		completing the appraisal assignment (Competency Rule).			
7	COUNT TW	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$			
9	On or about February 15, 2024, Respondent completed an appraisal of the property located at 2712 Oak Rd, Unit 50, Walnut Creek, CA 94597. The report contained certain errors and omissions in violation of the California Code of Regulations (CCR) and Uniform Standards of				
10	Professional A	Appraisal Practice (USPAP), listed as follows:			
11	a)	Respondent acted dishonestly with the intent to benefit himself and failed to demonstrate the qualifications of honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness.			
12		Specifically, Respondent did not provide the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers true copies of the appraisal reports he submitted to the client. Instead, Respondent			
13 14		provided an altered appraisal report that he did not submit to the client. Additionally, Respondent made statements to Investigator during the investigation			
15		that were false, inconsistent, and not believable (CCR §3702(a)(3) and CCR §3721(a)(2)); and			
16	b)	Based on the finding above, Respondent failed to promote and preserve the public			
17		trust inherent in appraisal practice by observing the highest standards of professional ethics (Ethics Rule).			
18					
19	111				
20	111				
21	111				
22	111				
24	111				
25	111				
26	111				
27	111				
28					
		. 10			