1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	4.
2	FRANK H. PACOE Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	CHAR SACHSON Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 161032 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	
4		
5		
6	Telephone: (415) 703-5558 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. C20130614-04 ¹
12	CURTIS D. HARRIS	
13	16174 Woodrow Court Chino Hills, CA 91709	ACCUSATION
14	630 North Sepulveda Blvd. #9A-702	
15	El Segundo, CA 90245	
16	Real Estate Appraiser's License No. 002574	
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PARTIES	
21	1. Elizabeth Seaters, acting on behalf of the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers	
22	(Complainant), Department of Consumer Affairs, brings this Accusation solely in her official	
23	capacity as Chief of Enforcement for Complainant.	
24		
25	The Bureau lists the following case numbers for this matter, as this action results from	
26	several consumer complaints: C20130614-04, C20140528-02, C20140313-02,	
27	C20140805-01, C20140508-02, C20140821-04, C20141028-01, C20140821-01, C20140821-03, C20140821-02, and C20150122-01. For ease of reference, the matter is	
28	captioned herein with case number C2013	

2. On or about November 18, 1992, the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers (formerly the Office of Real Estate Appraisers) issued Real Estate Appraiser's License Number 002574 to Curtis D. Harris (Respondent). The Real Estate Appraiser's License expired on November 24, 2014, and has not been renewed.

JURISDICTION, STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers (Bureau) for the Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Business and Professions Code (Code) section 11313 states:

"The bureau is under the supervision and control of the Director of Consumer Affairs. The duty of enforcing and administering this part is vested in the chief, and he or she is responsible to the Director of Consumer Affairs therefor. The chief shall adopt and enforce rules and regulations as are determined reasonably necessary to carry out the purposes of this part. Those rules and regulations shall be adopted pursuant to Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code. Regulations adopted by the former Director of the Office of Real Estate Appraisers shall continue to apply to the bureau and its licensees."

5. Business and Professions Code section 11315.3 states:

The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license or certificate of registration issued by the office, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the office or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the office, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the office of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or registrant upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or certificate of registration, or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee or registrant on any such ground.

///

7 || ///

- 6. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 7. Business and Professions Code section 11301 states:
- "(a) There is hereby created within the Department of Consumer Affairs a Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers to administer and enforce this part.
- (b) Whenever the term "Office of Real Estate Appraisers" appears in any other law, it means the "Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers."
 - 8. Business and Professions Code section 11316, subdivision (a) states:
- "(a) The director may assess a fine against a licensee, applicant for licensure, person who acts in a capacity that requires a license under this part, course provider, applicant for course provider accreditation, or a person who, or entity that, acts in a capacity that requires course provider accreditation for violation of this part or any regulations adopted to carry out its purposes."
 - 9. Business and Professions Code section 11319 states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice constitute the minimum standard of conduct and performance for a licensee in any work or service performed that is addressed by those standards. If a licensee also is certified by the Board of Equalization, he or she shall follow the standards established by the Board of Equalization when fulfilling his or her responsibilities for assessment purposes."

10. Business and Professions Code section 11328 states, in pertinent part:

"To substantiate documentation of appraisal experience, or to facilitate the investigation of illegal or unethical activities by a licensee, applicant, or other person acting in a capacity that requires a license, that licensee, applicant, or person shall, upon the request of the director, submit copies of appraisals, or any work product which is addressed by the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, and all supporting documentation and data to the OREA."

///

 $\parallel 111$

- 11. Title 10, California Code of Regulations section 3527 states that:
- "(a) All applicants for and holders of a license, temporary practice permit or course provider approval permit shall submit written notice to BREA of any change to the following within 10 days on the Change Notification and Miscellaneous Requests Form REA 3011 (Rev. 5/8/00), which is herein incorporated by reference:
 - (1) Name;
 - (2) Residence telephone number;
 - (3) Business telephone number;
 - (4) Residence address;
 - (5) Business name or address; or
 - (6) Mailing address.

. . .

- "(c) The business name, address and telephone number shall be considered the applicant's, licensee's or Registrant's address and telephone number of record for all purposes unless otherwise requested in writing by the applicant, licensee or Registrant and shall be considered a matter of public record. If no business address is provided, the mailing address shall be the address of record. A physical address is required as the address of record (a P.O. Box, Rural Route, Star Route, or mail box rental service location is not allowed)."
 - 12. Title 10, California Code of Regulations section 3702 states that:
 - "(a) The Director finds and declares as follows:
- "(1) That the profession of real estate appraisal is vested with a fiduciary relationship of trust and confidence as to clients, lending institutions, and both public and private guarantors or insurers of funds in federally-related real estate transactions and that the qualifications of honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness are directly and substantially related to and indispensable to the practice of the appraisal profession;
- "(2) That registered Appraisal Management Companies are vested with a relationship of trust and confidence as to their clients, lending institutions, and both public and private guarantors or insurers of funds in federally-related real estate transactions and that the qualifications of

honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness are directly and substantially related to and indispensable to their business operations; and

- "(3) Every holder of a license to practice real estate appraisal, Registrant, Controlling Person of an Appraisal Management Company, or person or entity acting in a capacity requiring a license or Certificate of Registration shall be required to demonstrate by his or her conduct that he or she possesses the qualifications of honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness.
- "(b) The Director may require such proof as he may deem advisable concerning the special qualifications of an applicant for or holder of an appraisers' license or a Certificate of Registration."
 - 13. California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3721 states:
- "(a) The Director may issue a citation, order of abatement, assess a fine or private or public reproval, suspend or revoke any license or Certificate of Registration, and/or may deny the issuance or renewal of a license or Certificate of Registration of any person or entity acting in a capacity requiring a license or Certificate of Registration who has:
- "(1) Been convicted of a felony or any crime which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the profession of real estate appraisal;
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to benefit himself or another, or to injure another;
- "(3) Been convicted for a commission or solicitation of a criminal act which involved or threatened bodily harm to others;
- "(4) Done any act which if done by the holder of a license to practice real estate appraisal would be grounds for revocation or suspension of such license;
- "(5) Knowingly made a false statement of material fact required to be disclosed in an application for a license authorizing the practice of real estate appraisal;
 - "(6) Violated any provision of USPAP;
- "(7) Violated any provision of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and Certification Law, Part 3 (commencing with Section 11300) of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, or

regulations promulgated pursuant thereto; or any provision of the Business and Professions Code applicable to applicants for or holders of licenses authorizing appraisals;

- "(8) Been prohibited from participating in the affairs of an insured depository institution pursuant to Section 19(a) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. Section 1829.); or
- "(9) Submitted a financial instrument to OREA for the payment of any fee, fine or penalty which instrument is subsequently dishonored by the issuing institution due to an act or omission of that person.
- "(b) Before issuing any private or public reproval or denying, suspending, or revoking any license or Certificate of Registration issued or issuable under the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers Licensing and Certification Law or these regulations, the Office shall proceed as prescribed by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code (the Administrative Procedure Act) and the Office shall have all the powers granted therein.
- "(c) Any person issued a citation containing a fine or order of abatement may contest the citation by filing a written notice with the Office within 30 calendar days that states with specificity the basis of the appeal. Upon receipt of such notice, the Office shall proceed as prescribed by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code (the Administrative Procedure Act) and the Office shall have all the powers granted therein."
 - 14. California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3701 states:

"Every holder of a license under this part shall conform to and observe the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) and any subsequent amendments thereto as promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation which standards are herein incorporated into these regulations by reference as if fully set forth herein."

15. Business and Professions Code section 11409, subdivision (a) states:

"Except as otherwise provided by law, any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding may direct a licensee, applicant for licensure, person who acts in a capacity that requires a license under this part, registrant, applicant for a certificate of registration, course provider, applicant for course provider accreditation, or a person who, or entity that, acts in a

- (iv) if the opinion of value is to be based on non-market financing or financing with unusual conditions or incentives, the terms of such financing must be clearly identified and the appraiser's opinion of their contributions to or negative influence on value must be developed by analysis of relevant market data;
 - (d) identify the effective date of the appraiser's opinions and conclusions;
- (e) identify the characteristics of the property that are relevant to the type and definition of value and intended use of the appraisal, including:
 - (i) its location and physical, legal, and economic attributes;
 - (ii) the real property interest to be valued;
- (iii) any personal property, trade fixtures, or intangible items that are not real property but are included in the appraisal;
- (iv) any known easements, restrictions, encumbrances, leases, reservations, covenants, contracts, declarations, special assessments, ordinances, or other items of a similar nature; and
- (v) whether the subject property is a fractional interest, physical segment, or partial holding;
 - (f) identify any extraordinary assumptions necessary in the assignment;
 - (g) identify any hypothetical conditions necessary in the assignment; and
- (h) determine the scope of work necessary to produce credible assignment results in accordance with the SCOPE OF WORK RULE.
 - 19. USPAP Standards Rule 1-3, states:

When necessary for credible assignment results in developing a market value opinion, an appraiser must:

- (a) identify and analyze the effect on use and value of existing land use regulations, reasonably probable modifications of such land use regulations, economic supply and demand, the physical adaptability of the real estate, and market area trends; and
 - (b) develop an opinion of the highest and best use of the real estate.
 - 20. USPAP Standards Rule 1-4, states:

In developing a real property appraisal, an appraiser must collect, verify, and analyze all information necessary for credible assignment results.

- (a) When a sales comparison approach is necessary for credible assignment results, an appraiser must analyze such comparable sales data as are available to indicate a value conclusion.
- (b) When a cost approach is necessary for credible assignment results, an appraiser must:
 - (i) develop an opinion of site value by an appropriate appraisal method or technique;
- (ii) analyze such comparable cost data as are available to estimate the cost new of the improvements (if any); and
- (iii) analyze such comparable data as are available to estimate the difference between the cost new and the present worth of the improvements (accrued depreciation).
- (c) When an income approach is necessary for credible assignment results, an appraiser must:
- (i) analyze such comparable rental data as are available and/or the potential earnings capacity of the property to estimate the gross income potential of the property;
- (ii) analyze such comparable operating expense data as are available to estimate the operating expenses of the property;
- (iii) analyze such comparable data as are available to estimate rates of capitalization and/or rates of discount; and
- (iv) base projections of future rent and/or income potential and expenses on reasonably clear and appropriate evidence.
- (d) When developing an opinion of the value of a leased fee estate or a leasehold estate, an appraiser must analyze the effect on value, if any, of the terms and conditions of the lease(s).
- (e) When analyzing the assemblage of the various estates of component parts of a property, an appraiser must analyze the effect on value, if any, of the assemblage. An appraiser must refrain from valuing the whole solely by adding together the individual values of the various estates or component parts.

- (f) When analyzing anticipated public or private improvements, located on or off the site, an appraiser must analyze the effect on value, if any, of such anticipated improvements to the extent they are reflected in market actions.
- (g) When personal property, trade fixtures, or intangible items are included in the appraisal, the appraiser must analyze the effect on value of such non-real property items.
 - 21. USPAP Standards Rule 2, states:

In reporting the results of a real property appraisal, an appraiser must communicate each analysis, opinion, and conclusion in a manner that is not misleading.

22. USPAP Standards Rule 2-1, states:

Each written or oral real property appraisal report must:

- (a) clearly and accurately set forth the appraisal in a manner that will not be misleading;
- (b) contain sufficient information to enable the intended users of the appraisal to understand the report properly; and
- (c) clearly and accurately disclose all assumptions, extraordinary assumptions, hypothetical conditions, and limiting conditions used in the assignment.
 - 23. USPAP Standards Rule 2-2, states:

Each written real property appraisal report must be prepared under one of the following three options and prominently state which option is used: Self-Contained Appraisal Report, Summary Appraisal Report, or Restricted Use Appraisal report.

- (a) The content of a Self-Contained Appraisal Report must be consistent with the intended use of the appraisal and, at a minimum:
 - (i) state the identity of the client and any intended users, by name or type;
 - (ii) state the intended use of the appraisal;
- (iii) describe information sufficient to identify the real estate involved in the appraisal, including the physical and economic property characteristics relevant to the assignment;
 - (iv) state the real property interest appraised;
 - (v) state the type and definition of value and cite the source of the definition;
 - (vi) state the effective date of the appraisal and the date of the report

- (vii) describe the scope of work used to develop the appraisal;
- (viii) describe the information analyzed, the appraisal methods and techniques employed, and the reasoning that supports the analyses, opinions, and conclusions; exclusion of the sales comparison approach, cost approach, or income approach must be explained;
- (ix) state the use of the real estate existing as of the date of value and the use of the real estate reflected in the appraisal; and, when an opinion of highest and best use was developed by the appraiser, describe the support and rationale for that opinion;
 - (x) clearly and conspicuously:
 - state all extraordinary assumptions and hypothetical conditions; and
 - state that their use might have affected the assignment results; and
 - (xi) include a signed certification in accordance with Standards Rule 2-3.
- (b) The content of a Summary Appraisal Report must be consistent with the intended use of the appraisal and, at a minimum:
 - (i) state the identity of the client and any intended users, by name or type;
 - (ii) state the intended use of the appraisal;
- (iii) summarize information sufficient to identify the real estate involved in the appraisal, including the physical and economic property characteristics relevant to the assignment;
 - (iv) state the real property interest appraised;
 - (v) state the type and definition of value and cite the source of the definition;
 - (vi) state the effective date of the appraisal and the date of the report;
 - (vii) summarize the scope of work used to develop the appraisal;
- (viii) summarize the information analyzed, state the appraisal methods and techniques employed, and the reasoning that supports the analyses, opinions, and conclusions; exclusion of the sales comparison approach, cost approach, or income approach must be explained.
- (ix) state the use of the real estate existing as of the date of value and the use of the real estate reflected in the appraisal; and, when an opinion of highest and best use was developed by the appraiser, summarize the support and rationale for that opinion;
 - (x) clearly and conspicuously:

An appraiser must comply with USPAP when obligated by law or regulation, or by agreement with the client or intended users. In addition to these requirements, an individual should comply any time that individual represents that he or she is performing the service as an appraiser.

Conduct:

An appraiser must perform assignments with impartiality, objectivity, and independence, and without accommodation of personal interests.

An appraiser:

- must not perform an assignment with bias;
- must not advocate the cause or interest of any party or issue;
- must not accept an assignment that includes the reporting of predetermined opinions and conclusions;
- must not misrepresent his or her role when providing valuation services that are outside of appraisal practice;
 - must not communicate assignment results with the intent to mislead or to defraud;
- must not use or communicate a report that is known by the appraiser to be misleading or fraudulent;
- must not knowingly permit an employee or other person to communicate a misleading or fraudulent report;
- must not use or rely on unsupported conclusions relating to characteristics such as race, color, religion, national origin, gender, marital status, familial status, age, receipt of public assistance income, handicap, or an unsupported conclusion that homogeneity of such characteristics is necessary to maximize value;
 - must not engage in criminal conduct;
- must not willfully or knowingly violate the requirements of the RECORD KEEPING RULE; and
 - must not perform an assignment in a grossly negligent manner.

If known prior to accepting an assignment, and/or if discovered at any time during the assignment, an appraiser must disclose to the client, and in the subsequent report certification:

- any current or prospective interest in the subject property or parties involved; and
- any services regarding the subject property performed by the appraiser within the three year period immediately preceding acceptance of the assignment, as an appraiser or in any other capacity.

Management:

An appraiser must disclose that he or she paid a fee or commission, or gave a thing of value in connection with the procurement of an assignment.

An appraiser must not accept an assignment, or have a compensation arrangement for an assignment, that is contingent on any of the following:

- 1. The reporting of a predetermined result (e.g., opinion of value);
- 2. a direction in assignment results that favors the cause of the client;
- 3. the amount of a value opinion;
- 4. the attainment of a stipulated result (e.g., that the loan closes, or taxes are reduced); or
- 5. the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the appraiser's opinions and specific to the assignment's purpose.

An appraiser must not advertise for or solicit assignments in a manner that is false, misleading, or exaggerated.

An appraiser must affix, or authorize the use of, his or her signature to certify recognition and acceptance of his or her USPAP responsibilities in an appraisal, appraisal review, or appraisal consulting assignment (see Standards Rules 2-3, 3-6, 5-3, 6-9, 8-3, and 10-3). An appraiser may authorize the use of his or her signature only on an assignment-by-assignment basis.

An appraiser must not affix the signature of another appraiser without his or her consent.

Confidentiality:

An appraiser must protect the confidential nature of the appraiser-client relationship.

An appraiser must act in good faith with regard to the legitimate interests of the client in the use of confidential information and in the communication of assignment results.

An appraiser must be aware of, and comply with, all confidentiality and privacy laws and regulations applicable in an assignment.

An appraiser must not disclose: (1) confidential information; or (2) assignment results to anyone other than:

- the client;
- persons specifically authorized by the client;
- state appraiser regulatory agencies;
- third parties as may be authorized by due process of law; or
- a duly authorized professional peer review committee except when such disclosure to a committee would violate applicable law or regulation.

A member of a duly authorized professional peer review committee must not disclose confidential information presented to the committee.

25. The USPAP Record Keeping Rule states²:

An appraiser must prepare a workfile for each appraisal, or appraisal review, or appraisal consulting assignment. A workfile must be in existence prior to the issuance of any report. A written summary of an oral report must be added to the workfile within a reasonable time after the issuance of the oral report.

The workfile must include:

- the name of the client and the identity, by name or type, of any other intended users;
- true copies of any written reports, documented on any type of media. (A true copy is a replica of the report transmitted to the client. A photocopy or an electronic copy of the entire report transmitted to the client satisfies the requirement of a true copy.);
- summaries of all oral reports or testimony, or a transcript of testimony, including the appraiser's signed and dated certification;

² The USPAP Record Keeping Rule cited herein is from the 2012-2013 edition of USPAP, where it was moved from the Ethics Rule to a stand-alone rule. Prior editions of USPAP's Record Keeping Rule applicable to appraisals before 2012 were substantially the same as the 2012-2013 edition.

• all other data, information, and documentation necessary to support the appraiser's opinions and conclusions and to show compliance with USPAP, or references to the location(s) of such other documentation; and

• a workfile in support of a Restricted Use Appraisal Report must be sufficient for the appraiser to produce a Summary Appraisal Report (for assignments communicated under STANDARDS 2 and 8) or an Appraisal Report (for assignments communicated under STANDARD 10).

An appraiser must retain the workfile for a period of at least five years after preparation or at least two years after final disposition of any judicial proceeding in which the appraiser provided testimony related to the assignment, whichever period expires last.

An appraiser must have custody of the workfile, or make appropriate workfile retention, access, and retrieval arrangements with the party having custody of the workfile. This includes ensuring that a workfile is stored in a medium that is retrievable by the appraiser throughout the prescribed record retention period.

An appraiser having custody of a workfile must allow other appraisers with workfile obligations related to an assignment appropriate access and retrieval for the purpose of:

- submission to state appraiser regulatory agencies;
- compliance with due process of law;
- submission to a duly authorized professional peer review committee; or
- compliance with retrieval arrangement

An appraiser who willfully or knowingly fails to comply with the obligations of this RECORD KEEPING RULE is in violation of the ETHICS RULE.

26. The USPAP Competency Rule states:

An appraiser must: (1) be competent to perform the assignment; (2) acquire the necessary competency to perform the assignment; or (3) decline or withdraw from the assignment.

Being Competent

The appraiser must determine, prior to accepting an assignment, that he or she can perform the assignment competently. Competency requires:

1

- 1. the ability to properly identify the problem to be addressed; and
- 2. the knowledge and experience to complete the assignment competently; and
- 3. recognition of, and compliance with, laws and regulations that apply to the appraiser or to the assignment.

Acquiring Competency

If an appraiser determines he or she is not competent prior to accepting an assignment, the appraiser must:

- 1. disclose the lack of knowledge and/or experience to the client before accepting the assignment;
 - 2. take all steps necessary or appropriate to complete the assignment competently; and
- 3. describe, in the report, the lack of knowledge and/or experience and the steps taken to complete the assignment competently.

When facts or conditions are discovered during the course of an assignment that cause an appraiser to determine, at that time, that he or she lacks the required knowledge and experience to complete the assignment competently, the appraiser must:

- 1. notify the client, and
- 2. take all steps necessary or appropriate to complete the assignment competently, and
- 3. describe, in the report, the lack of knowledge and/or experience and the steps taken to complete the assignment competently.

Lack of Competency

If the assignment cannot be completed competently, the appraiser must decline or withdraw from the assignment.

27. The USPAP Scope of Work Rule states:

For each appraisal, appraisal review, and appraisal consulting assignment, an appraiser must:

- 1. identify the problem to be solved;
- 2. determine and perform the scope of work necessary to develop credible assignment results; and
 - 3. disclose the scope of work in the report.

An appraiser must properly identify the problem to be solved in order to determine the appropriate scope of work. The appraiser must be prepared to demonstrate that the scope of work is sufficient to produce credible assignment results.

Problem Identification

An appraiser must gather and analyze information about those assignment elements that are necessary to properly identify the appraisal, appraisal review or appraisal consulting problem to be solved.

Scope of Work Acceptability

The scope of work must include the research and analyses that are necessary to develop credible assignment results.

An appraiser must not allow assignment conditions to limit the scope of work to such a degree that the assignment results are not credible in the context of the intended use.

An appraiser must not allow the intended use of an assignment or a client's objectives to cause the assignment results to be biased.

Disclosure Obligations

The report must contain sufficient information to allow intended users to understand the scope of work performed.

5535 WALNUT AVENUE, CHINO, CALIFORNIA

28. On or about May 10, 2013, Respondent completed an appraisal report of real property located at 5535 Walnut Avenue, Chino, California. The appraised property consisted of a single tenant medical office building which was originally constructed as a single family residence in 1969, but was converted to a medical office building in 1984. The property is located adjacent to the Chino Valley Medical Center, a 126 bed community hospital. Respondent agreed to perform a commercial appraisal. Respondent's client paid \$1,750.00 for the appraisal on April 28, 2013. Respondent advised his client that the property's value was \$285,000.00 as a medical building, but that its value as a residential property was \$340,000.00. On May 15, 2013, Respondent emailed his appraisal report to his client, appraising the property as a single family residence, reasoning that the highest and best use of the property was residential. On May 16, 2013, Respondent's client

advised Respondent that he had requested and paid for a commercial appraisal, and requested that commercial comparables be used. On or about November 6, 2014, the Bureau requested, in writing, that Respondent provide a copy of the appraisal report and workfile. Respondent claimed that the motel in which he was living and working threw out his workfile. In fact, no one from the motel threw out any of Respondent's documents or workfiles.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Facilitate Investigation and Maintain Workfile; USPAP Violations)

- 29. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 11328 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 3702(a)(1) and 3702(a)(3) in that he failed to facilitate the Bureau's investigation of a complaint against him by not responding in a timely manner to multiple letters sent to his addresses of record requesting copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Additionally, Respondent was not truthful with the Bureau's investigator when asked about the whereabouts of his appraiser's workfile.
- 30. Respondent failed to submit written notice to the Bureau within 10 days of any change in personal contact information, including residence and business addresses, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3527.
- 31. Respondent willfully or knowingly failed to retain copies of his appraisal workfile, in violation of USPAP, Conduct section of the Ethics Rule and the Record Keeping Rule.
- 32. Respondent's report was prepared in a careless or negligent manner, in that by making a series of errors that, although individually might not significantly affect the results of an appraisal, in the aggregate, affects the credibility of the results, in violation of USPAP Standards Rules 1-1(c), 2-2(b)(i), 2-2(b)(ii) and 2-2(b)(iii), as follows:
 - a. Failed to identify the intended use and users of the report;
 - b. Failed to correctly identify the subject property's Assessor Parcel Number;
 - c. Failed to correctly identify the subject property's postal zip code; and
 - d. Failed to correctly spell the subject property owner's name.
- 33. Respondent failed to correctly state and analyze the current zoning of the subject property, in violation of Standard Rules 1-2(e)(i), 2-2(b)(iii), and 2-2(b)(viii).

- 34. Respondent created a misleading report by failing to develop a Highest and Best Use analysis that is credible. Specifically, Respondent's Highest and Best Use analysis concludes that the Highest and Best Use of the subject property is for single family residential use. However, Respondent's analysis under the test of legally permissible was significantly flawed because he failed to accurately analyze the current zoning of the subject property, which prohibits a single family residential use. Also, the Respondent failed to provide any clear reasoning to support his analysis that the current use of the subject property as a medical office building has a value of \$285,000.00, in violation of Standard Rules 1-3(a), 1-3(b), 2-1(b), 2-2(b)(viii), and 2-2(b)(ix).
- 35. Respondent failed to develop a credible Cost Approach as his analysis was based upon the assumption that the Highest and Best Use of the subject property was for a single family residential use, which is not a legally permissible use, in violation of Standard Rules 1-4(b)(i), 1-4(b)(ii), 1-4(b)(iii) and 2-2(b)(viii).
- 36. Respondent failed to develop a credible Sales Comparison Approach as his analysis was based upon the assumption that the Highest and Best Use of the subject property was for a single family residential use, which is not a legally permissible use, in violation of Standard Rules 1-4(a) and 2-2(b)(viii).
- 37. Based upon paragraphs 33 through 36 above, Respondent failed to identify and perform the appropriate scope of work necessary in order to develop credible assignment results, in violation of the Scope of Work Rule, and Standards Rules 1-2(h) and 2-2(b)(vii).
- 38. Based upon paragraphs 33 through 36 above, Respondent failed to correctly employ those recognized methods and techniques that are necessary to produce a credible appraisal, in violation of Standard Rule 1-1(a).
- 39. Based upon paragraphs 33 through 36 above, Respondent committed substantial errors of omission and or commission that significantly affected the appraisal, in violation of Standard Rule 1-1(b).
- 40. Based upon paragraphs 33 through 36 above, Respondent failed to take all steps necessary or appropriate to complete the appraisal assignment competently, resulting in a value conclusion that was not credible, in violation of the Competency Rule.

- 41. Based upon paragraphs 33 through 36 above, Respondent performed the assignment in a grossly negligent manner, in violation of the Conduct section of the Ethics Rule.
- 42. Based upon paragraphs 33 through 36 above, Respondent communicated assignment results in a misleading manner, in violation of Standard Rule 2-1(a).

2064 MAGNOLIA AVENUE, ONTARIO, CALIFORNIA

43. On or about March 15, 2014, Respondent agreed to perform an appraisal of real property located at 2064 Magnolia Avenue in Ontario, California, and accepted a fee of \$1,750.00 from the property owner/client. The property consists of a 4.75 acre parcel of essentially vacant land used for agricultural production. Despite multiple requests for the appraisal report by the client, Respondent failed to provide the client with an appraisal report. In fact, Respondent stalled by requesting additional information, blamed the client for his own delays, and declared that he would cease work on the appraisal on April 22, 2014. On or about November 6, 2014, the Bureau requested, in writing, that Respondent provide a copy of the appraisal report and workfile. Respondent claimed that the motel in which he was living and working threw out his workfile. In fact, no one from the motel threw out any of Respondent's documents or workfiles.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Facilitate Investigation and Maintain Workfile; Failure to Demonstrate Honesty,

Candor, Integrity and Trustworthiness)

- 44. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 11328 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 3702(a)(1) and 3702(a)(3) in that he failed to facilitate the Bureau's investigation of a complaint against him by not responding to a written request sent to his addresses of record for copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Additionally, Respondent was not truthful with the Bureau's investigator when asked about the whereabouts of his appraiser's workfile.
- 45. Respondent willfully or knowingly failed to retain copies of his appraiser's workfile, in violation of USPAP, Conduct section of the Ethics Rule and the Record Keeping Rule.
- 46. Respondent failed to demonstrate the special qualifications of an appraiser, including honesty, candor, integrity and trustworthiness, by committing an act involving dishonesty, fraud or

26

27

28

deceit with the intent to benefit himself or another, or to injure another, by accepting the appraisal assignment of the subject property and collecting the entire fee, but failing to deliver the appraisal report, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 3702(a)(1) and 3702(a)(3), and 3721(a)(2).

2474 LINCOLN BLVD, VENICE, CALIFORNIA

- On or about January 29, 2014, Respondent entered into an agreement with the trustee of the property owner of 2474 Lincoln Blvd., Venice, California, to appraise the property for a fee of \$1,725.00, paid in two installments. The property consists of a single tenant retail building. On February 6, 2014, the trustee sent the initial payment of \$875.00 to Respondent. On February 13, 2014, Respondent advised the trustee that the appraisal report was ready, and requested the balance of the fee. On February 21, 2014, the trustee issued the second payment to Respondent, in the amount of \$875.00. On February 24, 2014, Respondent asked the trustee for proof of the initial payment. On February 25, 2014, the trustee directed Respondent to either email the appraisal immediately, or he would "initiate measures that will improve your focus and make your life considerably more exciting." On February 27, 2014, Respondent emailed the trustee and indicated that the trustee had failed to provide necessary information and failed to make final payment and was, accordingly, closing the file. On March 18, 2014, the trustee's attorney again requested a copy of the appraisal report from Respondent. On March 18, 2014, Respondent replied "See you in Court!" On March 20, 2014, the trustee's attorney filed a Superior Court complaint against Respondent for breach of contract. Respondent subsequently provided the trustee with the appraisal report.
- 48. Respondent lists a business address of 5780 West Centinela Avenue, Building 1, Suite 408, Los Angeles, California 90045 on his website. However, Respondent does not maintain a business at that office. As a result of the misleading information on Respondent's website, the trustee was unable to serve Respondent with the complaint.
- 49. On November 6, 2014, the Bureau transmitted a letter to Respondent directing him to provide the Bureau with copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Respondent failed to respond to the Bureau's demand.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Facilitate Investigation and Maintain Workfile; Failure to Demonstrate Honesty,

Candor, Integrity and Trustworthiness and Misrepresentation)

- 50. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 11328 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 3702(a)(1) and 3702(a)(3) in that he failed to facilitate the Bureau's investigation of a complaint against him by not responding to a written request sent to his addresses of record for copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Additionally, Respondent was not truthful with the Bureau's investigator when asked about there whereabouts of his appraiser's workfile.
- 51. Respondent willfully or knowingly failed to retain copies of his appraiser's workfile, in violation of USPAP, Conduct section of the Ethics Rule and the Record Keeping Rule.
- 52. Respondent misrepresented his address on his website, in violation of the Management section of the Ethics Rule.
- 53. Respondent failed to demonstrate the qualifications of honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness in connection with the appraisal of 2474 Lincoln Blvd. Venice, California, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702.

583 MARENGO AVENUE, PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

54. On or about May 29, 2014, Respondent entered into an agreement to appraise the property located at 583 Marengo Avenue, Pasadena, California, with a potential buyer of the property. Respondent quoted the buyer \$975.00 to prepare a "Complete Appraisal Summary Report" within two weeks. The property is an eight unit apartment complex. On June 10, 2014, the buyer provided a check in the amount of \$975.00 to Respondent. On June 25, 2014, the buyer contacted Respondent and requested status of the appraisal report. Respondent replied the same day, indicating that he had had personal issues, but expected to have the appraisal completed by June 27, 2014. On June 28, 2014, Respondent emailed the buyer and advised that he was not going to get the kind of detail he wanted in a Limited-Restricted Use Report, and that if the buyer wanted a Summary Report (which Respondent had already promised), the fee would be \$500.00 and \$750.00 for the two reports. In response to this, the buyer asked Respondent to call him.

Respondent called the buyer on June 28, 2014 and requested an additional \$350.00 to perform a more detailed report. The buyer personally delivered \$350.00 to Respondent that day. On July 7, 2014, Respondent requested the legal description for the property from the buyer. On July 9, 2014, the buyer emailed Respondent and stated that he had been provided with a copy of the title as requested, that the appraisal was to have been done in two weeks, but it had still not been done a month later. The buyer asked for the completed appraisal in "a day or two" and stated that he would come and pick it up personally. On the same date, Respondent replied, stating "This is not the correct legal, it is what I have." In response, the buyer asked Respondent to call him ASAP. Rather than calling the buyer as requested, Respondent emailed the buyer on July 9, 2014 and stated "Now I am getting a little annoyed. The delay is your responsibility not mine. I NEED A CORRECT LEGAL DESCRIPTION!!!!!!!!" Respondent and the buyer exchanged several additional emails wherein Respondent asked for additional information, and the buyer provided it. On July 29, 2014, the buyer emailed Respondent and asked for a refund, indicating that he no longer wanted the appraisal report. Respondent responded on the same day, stating "you are being placed on our do not respond list." On November 6, 2014, the Bureau transmitted a letter to Respondent directing him to provide the Bureau with copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Respondent failed to respond to the Bureau's demand.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Facilitate Investigation and Maintain Workfile; Failure to Demonstrate Honesty,

Candor, Integrity and Trustworthiness)

- 55. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 11328 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 3702(a)(1) and 3702(a)(3) in that he failed to facilitate the Bureau's investigation of a complaint against him by not responding to a written request sent to his addresses of record for copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Additionally, Respondent was not truthful with the Bureau's investigator when asked about the whereabouts of his appraiser's workfile.
- 56. Respondent willfully or knowingly failed to retain copies of his appraiser's workfile, in violation of the Conduct section of the Ethics Rule and the Record Keeping Rule.

- 57. Respondent failed to demonstrate the special qualifications of an appraiser including honesty, candor, integrity and trustworthiness by committing an act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to benefit himself or another, or to injure another, by accepting the appraisal assignment of the subject property and collecting the entire fee, but failing to deliver the appraisal report, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702(a)(1), 3702(a)(3), and 3721(a)(2).
- 58. Respondent failed to demonstrate the qualifications of honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness in connection with the appraisal of 583 Marengo Avenue, Pasadena, California, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702.

5918 CLOVERLY AVENUE, TEMPLE CITY, CALIFORNIA

59. On or about August 29, 2013, Respondent entered into an agreement with a part owner of the property located at 5918 Cloverly Avenue, Temple City, California, for preparation of an appraisal report for tax purposes. Respondent charged \$1,500.00 for the appraisal report. On October 15, 2013, Respondent advised the property owner that if the original appraisal was going to be used for tax purposes then a second report would have to be prepared, for an additional fee of \$1,500.00. On November 18, 2013, the owner provided Respondent with an additional \$1,500.00. Respondent transmitted the appraisal reports via email attachments, which the owner could not open. Respondent failed to respond to the owner's request for a hard copy of the reports. On November 6, 2014, the Bureau transmitted a letter to Respondent directing him to provide the Bureau with copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Respondent failed to respond to the Bureau's demand.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Facilitate Investigation and Maintain Workfile; Failure to Demonstrate Honesty,

Candor, Integrity and Trustworthiness)

60. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 11328 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 3702(a)(1) and 3702(a)(3) in that he failed to facilitate the Bureau's investigation of a complaint against him by not responding to a written request sent to his addresses of record for copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Additionally, Respondent was

27

28

not truthful with the Bureau's investigator when asked about the whereabouts of his appraiser's workfile.

- 61. Respondent willfully or knowingly failed to retain copies of his appraiser's workfile, in violation of the Conduct section of the Ethics Rule and the Record Keeping Rule.
- 62. Respondent failed to demonstrate the qualifications of honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness in connection with the appraisal of 5918 Cloverly Avenue, Temple City, California, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702.

307 SAN PASQUALE AVENUE, SOUTH PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

A potential buyer of the real property located at 307 San Pasquale Avenue, South Pasadena, California, paid Respondent \$2,000.00 on October 27, 2011 for a Summary Report to be completed in two to three weeks. The property is owned by the City of South Pasadena and is an irregularly shaped parcel located adjacent to property owned by the potential buyer. On November 22, 2011, Respondent advised the buyer that he needed dimensions of the parcel. The buyer asked Respondent to speak with her engineer. On November 23, 2011, Respondent indicated that he would complete the appraisal using extraordinary assumptions, but would not take responsibility if it was rejected by the City. The same day, the buyer responded by requesting that Respondent return her money if he could not complete the appraisal. On that day, Respondent advised the buyer that he was ceasing work on the assignment until he had her cooperation. On December 1 and 5, 2011, Respondent requested further information from the buyer. On December 6, 2011, the buyer advised Respondent that she had provided him with everything that she had and that they should talk if he could not complete the assignment. The same day, Respondent stated that he would move forward without the requested information and that the report would be completed within "the next few days." On December 18, 2011, Respondent advised the buyer that he could not complete the assignment unless he had a legal description and boundary measurements. On December 19, 2011, the buyer requested a refund of her payment so she could hire another appraiser. On December 28, 2011, Respondent replied, asking that the buyer read their contract. However, the parties did not have a contract; only a fee proposal which was signed only by Respondent. The buyer advised Respondent that she would

"let a judge decide." Respondent indicated that he was ceasing all future communications. On November 6, 2014, the Bureau transmitted a letter to Respondent directing him to provide the Bureau with copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Respondent failed to respond to the Bureau's demand.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Facilitate Investigation and Maintain Workfile; Failure to Demonstrate Honesty,

Candor, Integrity and Trustworthiness)

- 64. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 11328 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 3702(a)(1) and 3702(a)(3) in that he failed to facilitate the Bureau's investigation of a complaint against him by not responding to a written request sent to his addresses of record for copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Additionally, Respondent was not truthful with the Bureau's investigator when asked about the whereabouts of his appraiser's workfile.
- 65. Respondent willfully or knowingly failed to retain copies of his appraiser's workfile, in violation of USPAP, Conduct section of the Ethics Rule and the Record Keeping Rule.
- 66. Respondent failed to demonstrate the special qualifications of an appraiser including honesty, candor, integrity and trustworthiness by committing an act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to benefit himself or another, or to injure another, by accepting the appraisal assignment of the subject property and collecting the entire fee, but failing to deliver the appraisal report, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702(a)(1), 3702(a)(3), and 3721(a)(2).
- 67. Respondent failed to demonstrate the qualifications of honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness in connection with the appraisal of 307 San Pasquale Avenue, South Pasadena, California, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702.

3239 AND 3251 ROSECRANS AVENUE, AND 14150 LEMOLI AVENUE, HAWTHORNE, CALIFORNIA

68. On August 21, 2014, the daughter of the owner of three commercial properties entered into a verbal agreement with Respondent to perform appraisals. The properties were

2

located at 3239 and 3251 Rosecrans Avenue and 14150 Lemoli Avenue in Hawthorne, California. Respondent agreed to complete the appraisals in two to three weeks, for a fee of \$4,500.00. Respondent's client paid \$2,500.00 as a partial payment and agreed to pay the balance of \$2,000.00 upon delivery of the reports. On September 26, 2014, Respondent advised the client that the appraisals were near completion and directed her to forward a remaining balance of \$2,000.00 in order to release the appraisals. On September 28, 2014, the client requested a meeting with Respondent. He advised that he had no time available. Upon the client's request, on September 30, 2014, Respondent transmitted an invoice showing a balance due of \$2,000.00. On October 2, 2014, Respondent notified the client that he was placing the assignment on hold, pending final payment. On October 10, 2014, Respondent urged his client to pay the outstanding balance, or he would close the fie and charge a fee of \$1,000.00 to reopen it. On October 11, 2014 the client responded, advising that she had been out of town and reminding Respondent that he had caused delays with the assignment. Respondent wrote back the same day, stating "I NEED THAT PAYMENT TODAY!" On October 13, 2014, Respondent advised his client that he needed the money to pay his typist to complete the appraisals, that he was not a credit company, and that he still needed a week to complete the assignment. On the same day, Respondent advised his client that he was stopping work due to her failure to make the final payment, and that the assignment could only be re-started upon payment of the \$2,000.00, plus \$1,000.00 for consultation fees, and an additional \$250.00 per hour for any additional calls or emails. Respondent indicated that if his client refused these conditions, her calls and emails would be ignored and she would be sued for damages. On that date, the client advised Respondent that she would file a complaint with the Department of Consumer Affairs and reiterated what had transpired with regard to the appraisal assignment. Respondent replied that her communications would be placed in his junk file. On November 6, 2014, the Bureau transmitted a letter to Respondent directing him to provide the Bureau with copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Respondent failed to respond to the Bureau's demand. ///

| ///

28 | ///

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Facilitate Investigation and Maintain Workfile; Failure to Demonstrate Honesty,

Candor, Integrity and Trustworthiness)

- 69. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 11328 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 3702(a)(1) and 3702(a)(3) in that he failed to facilitate the Bureau's investigation of a complaint against him by not responding to a written request sent to his addresses of record for copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Additionally, Respondent was not truthful with the Bureau's investigator when asked about the whereabouts of his appraiser's workfile.
- 70. Respondent willfully or knowingly failed to retain copies of his appraiser's workfile, in violation of the Conduct section of the Ethics Rule and the Record Keeping Rule.
- 71. Respondent failed to demonstrate the special qualifications of an appraiser including honesty, candor, integrity and trustworthiness by committing an act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to benefit himself or another, or to injure another, by accepting the appraisal assignment of the subject property and collecting a partial fee, but failing to deliver the appraisal report, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702(a)(1), 3702(a)(3), and 3721(a)(2).
- 72. Respondent failed to demonstrate the qualifications of honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness in connection with the appraisal of 3239 and 3251 Rosecrans Avenue, and 14150 Lemoli Avenue, Hawthorne, California, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702.

490 WEST ROSECRANS AVENUE, GARDENA, CALIFORNIA

73. On or about March 26, 2010, Respondent was retained to perform an appraisal of a commercial property located at 490 West Rosecrans Avenue, Gardena, California. Respondent was paid \$600.00, but never provided an appraisal report to his client. In July, 2010, the Licensing/Compliance Officer for OCM Bancorp (the client's financial institution) sued Respondent in Small Claims Court. However, Respondent could not be served, despite attempts at Respondent's business address as listed on his website, his main address of record maintained by

the Bureau, and his mailing address maintained by the Bureau. None of Respondent's listed addresses were current or valid. On November 6, 2014, the Bureau transmitted a letter to Respondent directing him to provide the Bureau with copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Respondent failed to respond to the Bureau's demand.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Facilitate Investigation and Maintain Workfile; Failure to Demonstrate Honesty,

Candor, Integrity and Trustworthiness)

- 74. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 11328 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 3702(a)(1) and 3702(a)(3) in that he failed to facilitate the Bureau's investigation of a complaint against him by not responding to a written request sent to his addresses of record for copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Additionally, Respondent was not truthful with the Bureau's investigator when asked about the whereabouts of his appraiser's workfile.
- 75. Respondent willfully or knowingly failed to retain copies of his appraiser's workfile, in violation of the Conduct section of the Ethics Rule and the Record Keeping Rule.
- 76. Respondent failed to demonstrate the special qualifications of an appraiser including honesty, candor, integrity and trustworthiness by committing an act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to benefit himself or another, or to injure another, by accepting the appraisal assignment of the subject property and collecting the entire fee, but failing to deliver the appraisal report, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702(a)(1), 3702(a)(3), and 3721(a)(2).
- 77. Respondent failed to demonstrate the qualifications of honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness in connection with the appraisal of 490 West Rosecrans Avenue, Gardena, California, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702.
- 78. Respondent misrepresented on his web site his business address in violation of USPAP, Management section of the Ethics Rule.

27 | ///

28 | ///

5269 ALHAMBRA AVENUE, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

79. On or about January 4, 2011, Respondent was hired to perform an appraisal of the commercial property located at 5269 Alhambra Avenue, Los Angeles, California, for divorce purposes. Respondent agreed to perform the appraisal for \$1,500.00, with \$750.00 payable upon acceptance of the assignment and \$750.00 due upon completion of the report. Respondent promised the report in one to two weeks. The client contacted Respondent several times by telephone inquiring about the appraisal report after the due date. Respondent advised that he needed the remaining balance (\$750.00) prior to delivering the report, which was contrary to their agreement. The client never received a copy of the appraisal report and had to hire a second appraiser to perform the appraisal. On November 6, 2014, the Bureau transmitted a letter to Respondent directing him to provide the Bureau with copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Respondent failed to respond to the Bureau's demand.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Facilitate Investigation and Maintain Workfile; Failure to Demonstrate Honesty,

Candor, Integrity and Trustworthiness)

- 80. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 11328 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 3702(a)(1) and 3702(a)(3) in that he failed to facilitate the Bureau's investigation of a complaint against him by not responding to a written request sent to his addresses of record for copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Additionally, Respondent was not truthful with the Bureau's investigator when asked about the whereabouts of his appraiser's workfile.
- 81. Respondent willfully or knowingly failed to retain copies of his appraiser's workfile, in violation of the Conduct section of the Ethics Rule and the Record Keeping Rule.
- 82. Respondent failed to demonstrate the special qualifications of an appraiser including honesty, candor, integrity and trustworthiness by committing an act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to benefit himself or another, or to injure another, by accepting the appraisal assignment of the subject property and collecting a partial fee, but failing to deliver the appraisal

27

28

report, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702(a)(1), 3702(a)(3), and 3721(a)(2).

83. Respondent failed to demonstrate the qualifications of honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness in connection with the appraisal of 5269 Alhambra Avenue, Los Angeles, California, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702.

RIVERSIDE COUNTY, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, AND MALIBU PROPERTIES

On or about May 11, 2011, Respondent and his client entered into a written agreement for appraisals of three properties: Riverside County APN 407-230-011, San Bernardino County APN 0462-102-02, and 20272 Inland Lane, Malibu, California. Respondent agreed to perform the appraisals for \$2,750.00, with \$1,375.00 payable in advance, and \$1,375.00 payable upon completion of the appraisals. On June 6, 2011, the client's daughter emailed Respondent and inquired about the status of the appraisals. On June 7, 2011, Respondent replied "Maybe next week." Throughout June, 2011, the client's daughter asked Respondent for status of the appraisals. On June 30, she telephoned Respondent, and emailed him indicating that if she did not hear from him by the end of the day, she would institute legal action. Within one hour, Respondent replied "I am ceasing work on this project pending receipt of your filing. Your Father (My Client) can reach me via email or telephone. You are instructed to stop harassing me and my employees." On June 30, 2011, the client emailed Respondent, and stated "As per our telephone conversation this morning, I would like to give you until the end of next week. Due to time limit. we must to [sic] receive the appraisal package by July 8, 2011. Thanks." Respondent replied immediately: "I have ceased work on your appraisal request until such time as I have received the balance due, or your certification that you do not plan to go forward with a civil law suit. If I do not receive your affinitive [sic] response by Monday, July 4, 2011, I will accept your cancelation request. Should you choose to cancel, payment for work completed to this point will be calculated at our standard hourly rate of \$250.00." The client wrote back 11 minutes later, stating: "Thank you for your immediate response. I certify and confirm that we shall not pursue any civil legal action against you or your company if we receive the appraisal package by the end of next week (Friday, July 8th) as we agreed." Respondent wrote back 26 minutes later, and stated "Your

appraisals are hereby canceled. I will forward my closing invoice." The same day, the client replied "I will not accept your cancellation without full payment back to me. Unless we receive your full money back by the end of tomorrow, July 1, 2011, I will pursue legal action against you with maximum allowed by the law. I will also pursue with the BBB as well." Respondent replied 32 minutes later with "So noted." On November 6, 2014, the Bureau transmitted a letter to Respondent directing him to provide the Bureau with copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Respondent failed to respond to the Bureau's demand.

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Facilitate Investigation and Maintain Workfile; Failure to Demonstrate Honesty,

Candor, Integrity and Trustworthiness)

- 85. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 11328 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 3702(a)(1) and 3702(a)(3) in that he failed to facilitate the Bureau's investigation of a complaint against him by not responding to a written request sent to his addresses of record for copies of his appraisal report and workfile. Additionally, Respondent was not truthful with the Bureau's investigator when asked about the whereabouts of his appraiser's workfile.
- 86. Respondent willfully or knowingly failed to retain copies of his appraiser's workfile, in violation of the Conduct section of the Ethics Rule and the Record Keeping Rule.
- 87. Respondent failed to demonstrate the special qualifications of an appraiser including honesty, candor, integrity and trustworthiness by committing an act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to benefit himself or another, or to injure another, by accepting the appraisal assignment of the subject property and collecting a partial fee, but failing to deliver the appraisal report, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702(a)(1), 3702(a)(3), and 3721(a)(2).
- 88. Respondent failed to demonstrate the qualifications of honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness in connection with the appraisal of Riverside County APN 407-230-011, San Bernardino County APN 0462-102-02, and 20272 Inland Lane, Malibu, California, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702.

5 6

8

9

7

10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

27985 NORTH SHORE ROAD, LAKE ARROWHEAD, CALIFORNIA

On or about February 15, 2014, Respondent agreed to perform an appraisal of property located at 27985 North Shore Road, Lake Arrowhead, California, for a fee of \$750.00. Respondent communicated the terms of the assignment with the bookkeeper of the client/property owner. On February 22, 2014, Respondent met with the property owner at the property and received a check in the amount of \$750.00. On February 27, 2014, the owner emailed and telephoned Respondent inquiring about the status of the appraisal. On March 7, 2014, the owner's bookkeeper called and emailed Respondent regarding the status of the appraisal. On March 8, 2014, Respondent emailed the owner, apologized for the delay, and stated that the appraisal should be complete later that day. On March 10, 2014, the owner's bookkeeper emailed Respondent requesting delivery of the appraisal report. Respondent had inquired about square footage of the property, and the bookkeeper advised that she did not have that information. Respondent asked to have the owner call him. Later that day, the owner emailed Respondent and indicated that he did not know why the appraisal report had not yet been delivered. The owner advised Respondent that if he could not complete the assignment, he should refund the money paid. On March 11, 2014, Respondent emailed the owner and asked him to call, specifying that a discrepancy regarding square footage between what he had measured and what was listed at the Assessor's Office would mean that Respondent would be unable to testify in court, and that he refused to waste the court's time on unsubstantiated factual issues. Later that day, Respondent emailed the owner and stated that "You and your wife are becoming quite annoying. Send me the permits for the building structure and I will forward the appraisal. No other communications will be forthcoming." The owner sued Respondent in Small Claims Court, but was unable to locate and serve Respondent.

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Demonstrate Honesty, Candor, Integrity and Trustworthiness)

90. Respondent failed to demonstrate the special qualifications of an appraiser including honesty, candor, integrity and trustworthiness by committing an act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to benefit himself or another, or to injure another, by accepting the appraisal