Case No. L20221115-02

pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 118(b) and/or section 11315.3, does not deprive the Bureau of its authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.

- 3. On or about May 2, 2023, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. L20221115-02, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Title 10, California Code of Regulations, section 3527, is required to be reported and maintained with the Bureau. Respondent's address of record was and is: 111 Deerwood Rd, Ste. 200, San Ramon, CA 94583.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505(c) and/or Business and Professions Code section 124.
 - 5. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. The Bureau takes official notice of its records and the fact that Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon them of the Accusation, and therefore waived their right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. L20221115-02.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Bureau finds Respondent is in default. The Bureau will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. L20221115-02, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 9. The Bureau finds that the actual costs for Investigation and Enforcement are \$3,260.76 as of May 10, 2023.

[7

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent AMR Appraisals Inc., dba GOT Appraisals, has subjected its Appraisal Management Company Registration No. 1253 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers is authorized to revoke Respondent's Appraisal Management Company Registration based upon the violations alleged in the Accusation, which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Appraisal Management Company Registration No. 1253, issued to Respondent AMR Appraisals Inc., dba GOT Appraisals, is revoked.

Cost Recovery. After reviewing its files and records, the Bureau finds that the reasonable cost of investigation and enforcement of Accusation No. L20221115-02 is \$3,260.76. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 11409, subdivision (a), the Bureau orders that Respondent pay the full investigation and enforcements costs to the Bureau. However, the Bureau will forgo its right to recover these costs at this time. Should Respondent apply in the future for any license issued by the Bureau, said costs of investigation and enforcement will become due and payable before any new or reinstated license will be issued to Respondent.

Administrative Fine. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 11316, Respondent Phenomenal Appraisal Management Company is ordered to pay the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers an administrative fine in the amount of \$10,000.00. If the fine is not paid, the full amount of the assessed fine shall be added to any fee for reinstatement of a license.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

///

1	This Decision shall become effective on June 22 nd 2023 It is so ORDERED
2	It is so ORDERED uy 7/M 2023
3	
4	
5	CHIEF OF THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
6	Attachment:
7	Exhibit A: Accusation
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
7	
8	4

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California JOSHUA A. ROOM Supervising Deputy Attorney General NEVA L. TASSAN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 252612 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-4455		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant		
7			
8	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10			
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. L20221115-02	
13 14	AMR APPRAISALS, INC., DBA GOT APPRAISALS 4000 Executive Pkwy, #230 San Ramon, CA 94583	ACCUSATION	
15	Appraisal Management Company Registration No. 1253		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18			
19	PARTIES		
20	1. Richard C. Rios, acting on behalf of the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers		
21	(Complainant), Department of Consumer Affairs, brings this Accusation solely in his official		
22	capacity as Supervising Property Appraiser Investigator.		
23	2. On or about April 8, 2010, the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers (formerly Office of		
24	Real Estate Appraisers) issued Appraisal Management Company (AMC) Registration Number		
25	1253 to AMR Appraisals, Inc., dba Got Appraisals (Respondent). The AMC Registration was in		
26	full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation, and expired on		
27	May 11, 2022. Joe M. Reid III is Respondent's Designated Officer.		
28	///		
	1		

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers (Bureau) for the Department of Consumer Affairs under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 22 of the Code states:

'Board' as used in any provisions of this code, refers to the board in which the administration of the provision is vested, and unless otherwise expressly provided, shall include 'bureau,' 'commission,' 'committee,' 'department,' 'division,' 'examining committee,' 'program,' and 'agency.'

- Section 11301 of the Code states:
- (a)(1) There is hereby created within the Department of Consumer Affairs a Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers to administer and enforce this part.
- (2) Notwithstanding any other law, the powers and duties of the bureau, as set forth in this part, shall be subject to review by the appropriate policy committees of the Legislature. The review shall be performed as if this part were scheduled to be repealed as of January 1, 2021.
- (b) Whenever the term "Office of Real Estate Appraisers" appears in any other law, it means the "Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers.".
- 6. Code section 11302 states, in pertinent part:

For the purpose of applying this part, the following terms, unless otherwise expressly indicated, shall mean and have the following definitions:

- (d) (1) 'Appraisal management company' means any person or entity that satisfies all of the following conditions:
- (A) Provides appraisal management services to creditors or to secondary mortgage market participants, including affiliates.
- (B) Provides those services in connection with valuing a consumer's principal dwelling as security for a consumer credit transaction or incorporating such transactions into securitizations.
- (C) Within a given 12 calendar month period oversees an appraiser panel of more than 15 state-certified or state-licensed appraisers in a state or 25 or more state-certified or state-licensed appraisers in two or more states, as described in Section 11345.5.

(2) Contracting with state-certified or state-licensed appraisers to perform appraisal (3) Managing the process of having an appraisal performed, including providing administrative services such as receiving appraisal orders and appraisal reports, submitting completed appraisal reports to creditors and secondary market participants, collecting fees from creditors and secondary market participants for services provided, and paying (1) An officer or director of an appraisal management company, or an individual who holds a 10 percent or greater ownership interest in an appraisal management company. (2) An individual employed, appointed, or authorized by an appraisal management company that has the authority to enter into a contractual relationship with clients for the performance of appraisal services and that has the authority to enter into agreements with (3) An individual who possesses the power to direct or cause the direction of the (u) 'License' means any license, certificate, permit, registration, or other means issued by the bureau authorizing the person to whom it is issued to act pursuant to this part (v) 'Licensure' means the procedures and requirements a person shall comply with in order to qualify for issuance of a license and includes the issuance of the license. (w) 'Registration' means the procedures and requirements with which a person or entity shall comply in order to qualify to conduct business as an appraisal management The bureau is under the supervision and control of the Director of Consumer

carry out the purposes of this part. Those rules and regulations shall be adopted pursuant to Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code. Regulations adopted by the former Director of the Office of Real Estate Appraisers shall continue to apply to the bureau and its licensees.

8. Code section 11314 states:

The office is required to include in its regulations requirements for licensure and discipline of real estate appraisers that ensure protection of the public interest and comply in all respects with Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989, Public Law 101-73 and any subsequent amendments thereto. Requirements for each level of licensure shall, at a minimum, meet the criteria established by the Appraiser Qualification Board of the Appraisal Foundation. The office may additionally include in its regulations requirements for the registration of appraisal management companies consistent with this part.

Code section 11315.3 states:

The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license or certificate of registration issued by the office, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the office or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the office, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the office of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or registrant upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the licensee or certificate of registration, or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee or registrant on any such ground.

10. California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3724 states:

- (a) Where the Chief has verified a notice of acts or omissions by a licensed appraiser, Registrant or person or entity acting in a capacity requiring a license or Certificate of Registration which constitute a violation of statute, regulation or USPAP, he/she may issue a citation in writing which describes with particularity the nature of the violation and including specific reference to the law, regulation or professional practice standard determined to have been violated. The citation may include a notice of abatement fixing a reasonable period of time for abatement of the violation, assessment of private or public reproval, suspension, revocation, restriction of license, fine or any combination of these actions.
- (b) Fines shall not exceed \$10,000 per incident. In assessing a fine, the Chief shall give due consideration to:
 - (1) The gravity of the violation;
 - (2) The good or bad faith of the person cited;
 - (3) The history of previous violations:
 - (4) Evidence that the violation was willful;

- (5) The extent to which the cited person has cooperated with the Bureau;
- (6) The extent to which the cited person has mitigated or attempted to mitigate any loss or potential loss caused by the violation; and
 - (7) Such other matters as the Chief determines are in the interest of justice.
- (c) Citations issued hereunder shall be subject to review as provided in subsection (b) of Section 3721.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 11. Code section 11315.1 states, in pertinent part:
- (d) In no event shall an administrative fine assessed by the office by citation or order exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) per violation. In assessing a fine, the office shall give due consideration to the appropriateness of the amount of the fine with respect to factors such as the gravity of the violation, the good faith of the person who committed the violation, and the history of previous violations.
- 12. Code section 11316, subdivision (a), states:
- (a) The director may assess a fine against a licensee, applicant for licensure, person who acts in a capacity that requires a license under this part, course provider, applicant for course provider accreditation, or a person who, or entity that, acts in a capacity that requires course provider accreditation for violation of this part or any regulations adopted to carry out its purposes.
- 13. Code section 11328.1 states:

If the director has a reasonable belief that a registrant, or person or entity acting in a capacity that requires a certificate of registration, has engaged in activities prohibited under this part, the director may submit a written request to the registrant, person, or entity, requesting copies of written material related to the director's investigation. Any registrant, person, or entity receiving a written request from the director for information related to an investigation of prohibited activities shall submit that information to the director or the bureau within a reasonable period of time, which shall be specified by the director in the written request. Any material submitted shall be kept confidential by the director and the bureau.

14. California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3529 states:

The Bureau may review and audit the records of applicants, licensees, educational providers, registered Appraisal Management Companies and persons or entities acting in a capacity that requires a Certificate of Registration, to determine compliance with the requirements of this chapter and state and federal law. Applicants, licensees, educational providers, and registered Appraisal Management Companies shall cooperate with the Bureau in the conduct of such audits and provide access during normal business hours to records and such information as the Bureau deems reasonably necessary for the completion

///

///

19. Between June 2022 and September 2022, the Bureau received six complaints against Respondent from licensed real estate appraisers for Respondent's non-payment of appraisal fees. The Bureau conducted an audit to determine whether Respondent was complying with the Bureau's laws. On or about August 10, 2022, the Bureau sent Respondent the audit request. The Bureau's audit request specified that Respondent's response was due by August 26, 2022. Respondent has not provided the Bureau's requested documents and information to date.

20. On or about November 2, 2022, the Minnesota Department of Commerce revoked Respondent's Appraisal Management Company License Number 20631283. This was due to Respondent's failure to pay for appraisal services and failure to fully comply with the Department of Commerce's investigation requests. Respondent did not inform the Bureau of this license revocation.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Respond to Director's Request)

21. Respondent has subjected its AMC Registration to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3721, subdivision (a)(7), in that it violated Code section 11328.1 and/or California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3529, by failing to submit timely and complete responses to the Bureau's audit requests, as described in paragraph 19, above.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Notify the Bureau of Minnesota License Revocation)

22. Respondent has subjected its AMC Registration to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3721, subdivision (a)(7), in that it violated California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3576, subdivision (f), by failing to timely notify the Bureau that the Minnesota Department of Commerce revoked its Appraisal Management Company License Number 20631283, as described in paragraph 20, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Exhibit the Requisite Qualifications of an Appraisal Management Company)

23. Respondent has subjected its AMC Registration to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3721, subdivision (a)(2), in that it violated California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702, subdivision (a)(3), by failing to demonstrate possession of the requisite qualifications of honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness, as described in paragraphs 19 and 20, above.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

24. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent AMR Appraisals, Inc., dba Got Appraisals, Complainant alleges that on or about October 10, 2019, Complainant filed a prior disciplinary action against Respondent titled *In the Matter of the Accusation Against AMR Appraisals, Inc., dba Got Appraisals*, before the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers, in Case Number L20190821-01. This matter was resolved by Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, effective May 27, 2020, and was based on Respondent's failure to include terms of payment on its engagement documents and failure to submit timely and complete responses to the Bureau's audit requests.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Chief of the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Appraisal Management Company Registration number 1253, issued to AMR Appraisals, Inc., dba Got Appraisals;
- Ordering AMR Appraisals, Inc., dba Got Appraisals to pay the Bureau of Real Estate
 Appraisers the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to
 Business and Professions Code section 11409;
- 3. Ordering AMR Appraisals, Inc., dba Got Appraisals to pay the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers a fine in the amount of \$10,000.00 pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 11316; and

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 4. DATED: April 24th, 2023 RICHARD C. RIOS Supervising Property Appraiser Investigator Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant [[