BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No. L20180920-01

VALUATION CONCEPTS GROUP 21031 Ventura Blvd., No. 750 Woodland Hills, CA 91364

Appraisal Management Company Registration No. 3005126,

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

[Gov. Code, §11520]

Respondent.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On or about November 2, 2018, Aaron Klinger, in his official capacity as the Chief of Enforcement of the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers ("Complainant"), filed Accusation No.

 L20180920-01 against Respondent Valuation Concepts Group ("Respondent") before the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)
- 2. On or about February 8, 2018, the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers (formerly Office of Real Estate Appraisers, referred to herein as the "Bureau" or "BREA") issued Appraisal Management Company ("AMC") Registration Number 3005126 to Respondent. The AMC Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and

1

will expire on February 7, 2020, unless renewed. Kendrick S. Jackson ("Jackson") is Respondent's Designated Officer and the only designated Controlling Person.

- 3. On or about December 10, 2018, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. L20180920-01, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Title 10, California Code of Regulations, section 3527, is required to be reported and maintained with the Bureau. Respondent's address of record was and is: 21031 Ventura Blvd., No. 750, Woodland Hills, CA 91364.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505(c) and/or Business and Professions Code section 124.
 - 5. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. The Bureau takes official notice of its records and the fact that Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon it of the Accusation, and therefore waived its right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. L20180920-01.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense... or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent....
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Bureau finds Respondent is in default. The Bureau will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Bureau's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. L20180920-01,

finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. L20180920-01, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

9. The Bureau finds that the actual costs for Investigation and Enforcement are \$2,054.84 as of December 19, 2018.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Valuation Concepts Group has subjected its Appraisal Management Company Registration Number 3005126 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers is authorized to revoke Respondent's AMC Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. California Code of Regulations, title 1•, section 3721, subdivision (a)(7), since in or around August 2018, Respondent has continuously violated section 11328.1 of the Business & Professions Code by failing to provide any response to the Bureau's August 2, 2018, letter requesting Respondent's files for eight appraisal assignments. Respondent also failed to respond to several telephone calls and voice mail messages left by a Bureau investigator. As of the date of this accusation, Respondent has not responded to the Bureau's written and telephonic inquiries and has not provided the Bureau with copies of the material as requested.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Appraisal Management Company Registration Number 3005126, issued to Respondent Valuation Concepts Group, is revoked.

Cost Recovery. After reviewing its files and records, the Bureau finds that the reasonable cost of investigation and enforcement of Accusation No. L20180920-01 is \$2,054.84. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 11409, subdivision (a), the Bureau orders that Respondent pay the full investigation and enforcements costs to the Bureau. However, the Bureau will forgo its right to recover these costs at this time. Should Respondent apply in the future for any license issued by the Bureau, said costs of investigation and enforcement will become due and payable before any new or reinstated license will be issued to Respondent.

Exhibit A

Accusation

<u></u>	XAVER BECERRA	
2	Attorney General of California LINDA L. SUN	
<u>.</u>	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
	Deputy Attorney General	
-	State Bar No. 27237	
S	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 260, 6306	
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	E-mail: Stephen.Svetich@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	
∞		
9	BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS	TATE APPRAISERS
0	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	NSUMER AFFAIRS
<u> </u>	-	
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ယ	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. L20180920-01
4 0	VALUATION CONCEPTS GROUP 21031 Ventura Blvd., No. 750	
6	Appraisal Management Company	
7	Regnandent	
<u> </u>	THANKINGOAL	
.9		
0	Complainant alleges;	
<u> </u>	PARTIES	IES
22	 Aaron Klinger, acting on behalf of the Burcau of Real Estate Appraisers 	Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers
<u>~~</u>	("Complainant"), Department of Consumer Affairs, brings this Accusation solely in his official	s, brings this Accusation solely in his official
4	capacity as Chief of Enforcement for Complainant.	
<u></u>	2. On or about February 8, 2018, the Bure	On or about February 8, 2018, the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers (formerly Offic
6	of Real Estate Appraisers, referred to herein as the "Bureau" or "BREA") issued Appraisal	"Bureau" or "BREA") issued Appraisal
7	Management Company ("AMC") Registration Number 3005126 to Valuation Concept Group	nber 3005126 to Valuation Concept Group
- 	("Respondent"). The AMC Registration was in ful	The AMC Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the
	-	

charges brought herein and will expire on February 7, 2020, unless renewed. Kendrick S. Jackson ("Jackson") is Respondent's Designated Officer and the only designated Controlling

JURISDICTION

This Accusation is brought before the Bureau for the Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and

"Board' as used in any provisions of this code, refers to the board in which the administration of the provision is vested, and unless otherwise expressly provided, shall include 'bureau,' 'commission,' 'committee,' 'department,' 'division,' 'examining committee,'

- Business and Professions Code section 11301 states:
- "(a) There is hereby created within the Department of Consumer Affairs a Bureau of Real
- "(b) Whenever the term 'Office of Real Estate Appraisers' appears in any other law, it
 - Business and Professions Code section 11302 states, in pertinent part:
- "For the purpose of applying this part, the following terms, unless otherwise expressly indicated, shall mean and have the following definitions:
 - "(d) (1) 'Appraisal management company' means any person or entity that satisfies
 - "(A) Provides appraisal management services to creditors or to secondary mortgage market participants, including affiliates.
 - "(B) Provides those services in connection with valuing a consumer's principal dwelling as security for a consumer credit transaction or incorporating such

"(C) Within a given 12 calendar month period oversees an appraiser panel of	2
more than 15 state-certified or state-licensed appraisers in a state or 25 or more state	t e -
certified or state-licensed appraisers in two or more states, as described in Section	
11345.5.	

"

- "(e) 'Appraisal management services' means one or more of the following:
 - "(1) Recruiting, selecting, and retaining appraisers.
- "(2) Contracting with state-certified or state-licensed appraisers to perform appraisal assignments.
- "(3) Managing the process of having an appraisal performed, including providing administrative services such as receiving appraisal orders and appraisal reports, submitting completed appraisal reports to creditors and secondary market participants, collecting fees from creditors and secondary market participants for services provided, and paying appraisers for services performed.
 - "(4) Reviewing and verifying the work of appraisers.

- "(i) 'Controlling person' means one or more of the following:
- "(1) An officer or director of an appraisal management company, or an individual who holds a 10 percent or greater ownership interest in an appraisal management company.
- "(2) An individual employed, appointed, or authorized by an appraisal management company that has the authority to enter into a contractual relationship with clients for the performance of appraisal services and that has the authority to enter into agreements with independent appraisers for the completion of appraisals.
- "(3) An individual who possesses the power to direct or cause the direction of the management or policies of an appraisal management company.

"

"(t) 'License' means any license, certificate, permit, registration, or other means issued by the bureau authorizing the person to whom it is issued to act pursuant to this part within this state.

"(u) 'Licensure' means the procedures and requirements a person shall comply with in order to qualify for issuance of a license and includes the issuance of the license.

"

"(w) 'Registration' means the procedures and requirements with which a person or entity shall comply in order to qualify to conduct business as an appraisal management company."

7. Business and Professions Code section 11313 states:

"The bureau is under the supervision and control of the Director of Consumer Affairs. The duty of enforcing and administering this part is vested in the chief, and he or she is responsible to the Director of Consumer Affairs therefor. The chief shall adopt and enforce rules and regulations as are determined reasonably necessary to carry out the purposes of this part. Those rules and regulations shall be adopted pursuant to Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code. Regulations adopted by the former Director of the Office of Real Estate Appraisers shall continue to apply to the bureau and its licensees."

8. Business and Professions Code section 11315.3 states:

"The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license or certificate of registration issued by the office, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the office or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the office, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the office of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or registrant upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the licensee or certificate of registration, or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee or registrant on any such ground."

- 9. Business and Professions Code section 11314 states, in pertinent part: "The office is required to include in its regulations requirements for licensure and discipline of real estate appraisers that ensure protection of the public interest."
 - 10. Business and Professions Code section 11328.1 states, in pertinent part:

"If the director has a reasonable belief that a registrant, or person or entity acting in a capacity that requires a certificate of registration, has engaged in activities prohibited under this part, he or she may submit a written request to the registrant, person, or entity, requesting copies of written material related to his or her investigation. Any registrant, person, or entity receiving a written request from the director for information related to an investigation of prohibited activities shall submit that information to the director or the office within a reasonable period of time, which shall be specified by the director in his or her written request. Any material submitted shall be kept confidential by the director and the office."

- 11. Business and Professions Code section 11345.3 states, in pertinent part:
- "(f) Appraisal management companies shall maintain all of the following records for each service request:
 - "(1) Date of receipt of the request.
 - "(2) Name of the person from whom the request was received.
 - "(3) Name of the client for whom the request was made, if different from the name of the person from whom the request was received.
 - "(4) The appraiser or appraisers assigned to perform the requested service.
 - "(5) Date of delivery of the appraisal product to the client.
 - "(6) Client contract.
 - "(7) Engagement letter,
 - "(8) The appraisal report."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 12. California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3721 states:
- "(a) The Chief may issue a citation, order of abatement, assess a fine or private or public reproval, suspend or revoke any license or Certificate of Registration, and/or may dony the

`15

- "(e) In no event shall an administrative fine assessed by the office by citation or order exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) per violation. In assessing a fine, the office shall give due consideration to the appropriateness of the amount of the fine with respect to factors such as the gravity of the violation, the good faith of the person who committed the violation, and the history of previous violations. . . ."
 - 15. Business and Professions Code section 11316, subdivision (a) states:
- "(a) The director may assess a fine against a licensee, applicant for licensure, person who acts in a capacity that requires a license under this part, course provider, applicant for course provider accreditation, or a person who, or entity that, acts in a capacity that requires course provider accreditation for violation of this part or any regulations adopted to carry out its purposes."
 - 16. California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3724 states:
- "(a) Where the Chief has verified a notice of acts or omissions by a licensed appraiser,
 Registrant or person or entity acting in a capacity requiring a license or Certificate of Registration
 which constitute a violation of statute, regulation or USPAP, he/she may issue a citation in
 writing which describes with particularity the nature of the violation and including specific
 reference to the law, regulation or professional practice standard determined to have been
 violated. The citation may include a notice of abatement fixing a reasonable period of time for
 abatement of the violation, assessment of private or public reproval, suspension, revocation,
 restriction of license, fine or any combination of these actions.
- "(b) Fines shall not exceed \$10,000 per incident. In assessing a fine, the Chief shall give due consideration to:
 - "(1) The gravity of the violation;
 - "(2) The good or bad faith of the person cited;
 - "(3) The history of previous violations;
 - "(4) Evidence that the violation was willful;
 - "(5) The extent to which the cited person has cooperated with the Office;

- "(6) The extent to which the cited person has mitigated or attempted to mitigate any loss or potential loss caused by the violation; and
 - "(7) Such other matters as the Chief determines are in the interest of justice.
- "(c) Citations issued hereunder shall be subject to review as provided in subsection (b) of Section 3721."

COST RECOVERY PROVISION

17. Business and Professions Code section 11409, subdivision (a) states:

"Except as otherwise provided by law, any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding may direct a licensee, applicant for licensure, person who acts in a capacity that requires a license under this part, registrant, applicant for a certificate of registration, course provider, applicant for course provider accreditation, or a person who, or entity that, acts in a capacity that requires course provider accreditation found to have committed a violation or violations of statutes or regulations relating to real estate appraiser practice to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation, enforcement, and presecution of the case."

FACTS

- 18. Between June 2018 and August 2018, the Bureau received three complaints from three distinct licensed real estate appraisers. The complaints are dated May 31, 2018, June 8, 2018, and July 28, 2018. Each of the appraisers who filed a complaint with the Bureau alleged that they completed appraisal services for Respondent, but that Respondent has not paid them for their completed appraisal assignments. The first appraiser reported that she completed 16 appraisal assignments for Respondent, and Respondent has not compensated her for any of these assignments. The second appraiser reported that he completed 20 appraisal assignments for Respondent, and Respondent has not compensated him for any of these assignments. The third appraiser reported that he completed eight appraisal assignments for Respondent, and Respondent has not compensated him for any of these assignments.
- 19. To investigate each of these complaints, the Bureau initiated an investigation into Respondent's activities relating to the three complaints. On or about August 2, 2018, the Bureau mailed a letter by regular mail to Respondent. The letter indicated the following:

The Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers (BREA) will be investigating Valuations Concepts Group for potential violations of the California laws and regulations. BREA is now in the process of gathering information to conduct the investigation. An important part of this information is your appraisal management company's records. Pursuant to the California Business and Professional (sic) Code, Section 11328.1, BREA is requesting you provide the following information for each property identified below:

- Date of the receipt of the appraisal requested;
- The name of the person(s) from whom the appraisal request was received;
- The name of the client(s) for whom the appraisal request was made (if different from the name of the person(s) from whom the request was received);
- The date of delivery of the appraisal product(s) to the person/client;
- The appraisal engagement letter and all written communications (emails[,] web portal entries, etc.) between you and the contracted appraiser including the appraisal report(s); and
- Records of all appraiser fees dispersed (including dates) to the contracted appraiser and the final fee charged to the lender/client.

[Addresses of eight properties redacted]

The information requested is limited to contracted appraisals, or appraisal services, which occurred in 2017 and 2018.

Please certify that the information you are providing to BREA is correct and complete by filling out and signing the enclosed *Penalty of Perjury* statement, and attaching it to the items requested.

Submit the required documents or make other acceptable arrangement with BREA no later than August 12, 2018. The documents should be sent to the attention of BREA Enforcement Unit. A return label has been provided for your convenience. Note that failure to comply with this request may result in the imposition of disciplinary sanctions.

During the investigative process, the investigator assigned to your case may contact you to schedule an informal telephone interview to discuss your case.

The interview is an opportunity for you to present to the investigator any further information you believe relevant to your case.

Note that Title 10, Chapter 6.5, Section 3527 of the California Code of Regulations requires written notice to BREA, within 10 days, of any contact changes.

Thank you for your cooperation. If you have any questions or need further clarification, please contact [name redacted] at the above address or by calling [phone number redacted].

- 20. Respondent never provided a response to the Bureau's August 2, 2018, letter.
- 21. On or about August 14, 2018, the Bureau's letter was returned to the Bureau. The letter had a yellow sticker from the United States Postal Service, indicating, "Return to Sender, Attempted Not Known Unable to Forward."
- 22. Prior to mailing the August 2, 2018, letter to Respondent, the Bureau confirmed Respondents' address as 21031 Ventura Blvd., No. 750, Woodland Hills, CA 91364. This is the address Respondent has maintained on file with the Bureau. It is the address Respondent reported on his ΔMC Certificate of Registration Application, filed with the Bureau on or about November 17, 2017. It is also the address Respondent reported to the California Secretary of State on a Statement of Information Respondent filed on May 8, 2018.
- 23. An investigator from the Bureau also left several voice mail messages for Respondent at Respondent's phone number on record with the Bureau. Respondent did not return any of the investigator's phone calls or voice mail messages.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(FAILURE TO PROVIDE WORK FILES TO BUREAU)

24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3721, subdivision (a)(7), since in or around August 2018, Respondent has continuously violated section 11328.1 of the Code by failing to provide any response to the Bureau's August 2, 2018, letter requesting Respondent's files for eight appraisal assignments. Respondent also failed to respond to several telephone calls and voice mail messages left by a

Bureau investigator. As of the date of this accusation, Respondent has not responded to the Bureau's written and telephonic inquiries and has not provided the Bureau with copies of his appraisal and work files as requested. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 18-23, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 25. Respondent's Designated Officer, Kendrick S. Jackson ("Jackson"), was fined \$500 for violating California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3721, subdivision (a)(5). On February 7, 2018, the Bureau adopted a stipulated settlement resolving the matter.
- 26. Jackson was fined \$1,000 for violating the Conduct section of the Ethics Rule of USPAP¹ and Business and Professions Code section 11328. The Bureau adopted the final order on the citation on October 31, 2007. Jackson produced two appraisals off the subject property with different values without explanation. He subsequently failed to facilitate the Bureau's investigation by not providing written copies of bis appraisal reports and work files, as requested.
- 27. Jackson was fined \$1,000 for violating USPAP Standards 1 and 2 for failure to select appropriate comparable sales in the sales comparison approach. The Bureau adopted the final order on the citation on July 7, 2005.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Chief of the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Appraisal Management Company Registration Number
 3005126, issued to Valuation Concepts Group;
- 2. Ordering Valuation Concepts Group to pay the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 11409;
- 3. Ordering Valuation Concepts Group to pay the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers a fine in the amount of \$10,000.00 pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 11316; and

¹ USPAP is the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, adopted by the Appraisal Standards Board of the Appraisal Foundation. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 10, § 3500, subd. (b)(19).)

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. **Original Signed** DATED: AARON KLINGER
Chief of Enforcement Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant LA2018602418 62990974.docx