1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	BEFORE THE	
9	BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APP DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	1
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. L20221115-02
13	AMR APPRAISALS INC., DBA GOT APPRAISALS	DEFAULT DECISION AND
14	4000 Executive Pkwy, #230 San Ramon, CA 94583	ORDER
15	Appraisal Management Company Registration No. 1253	[Gov. Code, §11520]
16	Respondents.	
17		
18	FINDINGS OF FACT	
19	1. On or about April 24, 2023, Richard C. Rios, actin	g on behalf of the Bureau of Real
20	Estate Appraisers (Complainant), filed Accusation No. L20221	115-02 against AMR Appraisals
21	Inc., dba GOT Appraisals (Respondent) before the Bureau of R	Real Estate Appraisers.
22	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
23	2. On or about April 8, 2010, the Bureau of Real Esta	te Appraisers (Bureau) (formerly
24	the Office of Real Estate Appraisers) issued Appraisal Manage	ment Company (AMC)
25	Registration No. 1253 to Respondent. Joe M. Reid III is Respo	ondent's Designated Officer. The
26	AMC Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in	
27	Accusation No. L20221115-02 and expired on May 11, 2022.	This lapse in licensure, however,
28	1	
	1	

pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 118(b) and/or section 11315.3, does not deprive the Bureau of its authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.

- 3. On or about May 2, 2023, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. L20221115-02, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Title 10, California Code of Regulations, section 3527, is required to be reported and maintained with the Bureau. Respondent's address of record was and is: 111 Deerwood Rd, Ste. 200, San Ramon, CA 94583.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505(c) and/or Business and Professions Code section 124.
 - 5. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. The Bureau takes official notice of its records and the fact that Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon them of the Accusation, and therefore waived their right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. L20221115-02.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Bureau finds Respondent is in default. The Bureau will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. L20221115-02, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 9. The Bureau finds that the actual costs for Investigation and Enforcement are \$3,260.76 as of May 10, 2023.

///

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent AMR Appraisals Inc., dba GOT Appraisals, has subjected its Appraisal Management Company Registration No. 1253 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers is authorized to revoke Respondent's Appraisal Management Company Registration based upon the violations alleged in the Accusation, which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Appraisal Management Company Registration No. 1253, issued to Respondent AMR Appraisals Inc., dba GOT Appraisals, is revoked.

Cost Recovery. After reviewing its files and records, the Bureau finds that the reasonable cost of investigation and enforcement of Accusation No. L20221115-02 is \$3,260.76. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 11409, subdivision (a), the Bureau orders that Respondent pay the full investigation and enforcements costs to the Bureau. However, the Bureau will forgo its right to recover these costs at this time. Should Respondent apply in the future for any license issued by the Bureau, said costs of investigation and enforcement will become due and payable before any new or reinstated license will be issued to Respondent.

Administrative Fine. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 11316, Respondent Phenomenal Appraisal Management Company is ordered to pay the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers an administrative fine in the amount of \$10,000.00. If the fine is not paid, the full amount of the assessed fine shall be added to any fee for reinstatement of a license.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

1	This Decision shall become effective on		
2	It is so ORDERED		
3			
4			
5		CHIEF OF THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
6	Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation		
7	Exhibit A. Accusation		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
2728			
∠8		4	

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	ROB BONTA			
2	Attorney General of California JOSHUA A. ROOM			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General NEVA L. TASSAN			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 252612			
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004			
6	Telephone: (415) 510-4455 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFORE THE			
9	BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. L20221115-02		
13	AMR APPRAISALS, INC., DBA GOT APPRAISALS 4000 Executive Pkwy, #230	ACCUSATION		
14	San Ramon, CA 94583			
15	Appraisal Management Company Registration No. 1253			
16	Respondent.			
17		•		
18				
19	<u>PARTIES</u>			
20	1. Richard C. Rios, acting on behalf of the Bureau of Re	al Estate Appraisers		
21	(Complainant), Department of Consumer Affairs, brings this Acc	usation solely in his official		
22	capacity as Supervising Property Appraiser Investigator.			
23	2. On or about April 8, 2010, the Bureau of Real Estate	Appraisers (formerly Office of		
24	Real Estate Appraisers) issued Appraisal Management Company (AMC) Registration Number			
25	1253 to AMR Appraisals, Inc., dba Got Appraisals (Respondent).	The AMC Registration was in		
26	full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation, and expired on			
27	May 11, 2022. Joe M. Reid III is Respondent's Designated Offic	er.		
28				
	1			

carry out the purposes of this part. Those rules and regulations shall be adopted pursuant to Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code. Regulations adopted by the former Director of the Office of Real Estate Appraisers shall continue to apply to the bureau and its licensees.

8. Code section 11314 states:

The office is required to include in its regulations requirements for licensure and discipline of real estate appraisers that ensure protection of the public interest and comply in all respects with Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989, Public Law 101-73 and any subsequent amendments thereto. Requirements for each level of licensure shall, at a minimum, meet the criteria established by the Appraiser Qualification Board of the Appraisal Foundation. The office may additionally include in its regulations requirements for the registration of appraisal management companies consistent with this part.

9. Code section 11315.3 states:

The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license or certificate of registration issued by the office, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the office or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the office, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the office of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or registrant upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the licensee or certificate of registration, or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee or registrant on any such ground.

10. California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3724 states:

- (a) Where the Chief has verified a notice of acts or omissions by a licensed appraiser, Registrant or person or entity acting in a capacity requiring a license or Certificate of Registration which constitute a violation of statute, regulation or USPAP, he/she may issue a citation in writing which describes with particularity the nature of the violation and including specific reference to the law, regulation or professional practice standard determined to have been violated. The citation may include a notice of abatement fixing a reasonable period of time for abatement of the violation, assessment of private or public reproval, suspension, revocation, restriction of license, fine or any combination of these actions.
- (b) Fines shall not exceed \$10,000 per incident. In assessing a fine, the Chief shall give due consideration to:
 - (1) The gravity of the violation;
 - (2) The good or bad faith of the person cited;
 - (3) The history of previous violations;
 - (4) Evidence that the violation was willful;

1	of the audit.			
2	15. California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3576, subdivision (f) states:			
3	An Appraisal Management Company shall notify the Bureau in writing within 10 days of its registration being refused, denied, canceled, suspended, or revoked in			
4	any state.			
5	16. California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702, subdivision (a)(3) states:			
6	Every holder of a license to practice real estate appraisal, Registrant, Controlling Person of an Appraisal Management Company, or person or entity acting in a capacity requiring a license or Certificate of Registration shall be required to			
8	demonstrate by his or her conduct that he or she possesses the qualifications of honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness.			
9	17. California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3721 states, in pertinent part:			
10	(a) The Chief may issue a citation, order of abatement, assess a fine or private or public reproval, suspend or revoke any license or Certificate of Registration, and/or			
11	may deny the issuance or renewal of a license or Certificate of Registration of any person or entity acting in a capacity requiring a license or Certificate of Registration who has:			
12				
13 14	(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to benefit himself or another, or to injure another;			
15	miniscri of another, of to injure another,			
	•••			
16 17	(7) Violated any provision of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and Certification Law, Part 3 (commencing with Section 11300) of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, or regulations promulgated pursuant thereto; or any provision of the Business and Professions Code applicable to applicants for or holders			
18	of licenses or Certificate of Registration.			
19	•••			
20	COST RECOVERY			
21	18. Code section 11409, subdivision (a) states:			
22				
23	Except as otherwise provided by law, any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding may direct a licensee, applicant for licensure, person who acts in a capacity that			
24	requires a license under this part, registrant, applicant for a certificate of registration, course provider, applicant for course provider accreditation, or a person who, or entity that, acts in			
25	a capacity that requires course provider accreditation found to have committed a violation or violations of statutes or regulations relating to real estate appraiser practice to pay a sum			
26	not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation, enforcement, and prosecution of the			
27	case.			
28				

2

4 5 6

8

7

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Exhibit the Requisite Qualifications of an Appraisal Management Company)

23. Respondent has subjected its AMC Registration to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3721, subdivision (a)(2), in that it violated California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3702, subdivision (a)(3), by failing to demonstrate possession of the requisite qualifications of honesty, candor, integrity, and trustworthiness, as described in paragraphs 19 and 20, above.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

24. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent AMR Appraisals, Inc., dba Got Appraisals, Complainant alleges that on or about October 10, 2019, Complainant filed a prior disciplinary action against Respondent titled *In the Matter of the* Accusation Against AMR Appraisals, Inc., dba Got Appraisals, before the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers, in Case Number L20190821-01. This matter was resolved by Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, effective May 27, 2020, and was based on Respondent's failure to include terms of payment on its engagement documents and failure to submit timely and complete responses to the Bureau's audit requests.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Chief of the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Appraisal Management Company Registration number 1253, 1. issued to AMR Appraisals, Inc., dba Got Appraisals;
- Ordering AMR Appraisals, Inc., dba Got Appraisals to pay the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 11409;
- 3. Ordering AMR Appraisals, Inc., dba Got Appraisals to pay the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers a fine in the amount of \$10,000.00 pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 11316; and

1	4.	Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2		
3		
4	DATED:	
5		RICHARD C RIOS
6		Supervising Property Appraiser Investigator Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
7		State of California Complainant
8		
9		
10		
11 12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	9